



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,439	04/15/2004	Tetsuya Sawano	0649-0955P	6298

2292 7590 02/09/2006

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

HERRERA, DIEGO D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/824,439	Applicant(s) SAWANO, TETSUYA	
	Examiner Diego Herrera	Art Unit 2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/15/2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 June 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner suggests the following title: "Image capturing device sending image to processing server that attaches GPS and other location information".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1-7, & 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanninen et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2004/0203842 A1), in view of Hamada et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,314,296 B1).

4. Regarding claims 1, & 12, Hanninen et al. discloses and shows an image processing server (Fig. 1, element 150 (secure server), Abstract, Hanninen teaches a server where information is gathered and compiled with location data), comprising:

- a. A specifier that specifies a position of a mobile communication device based on information from a base station representing a communication region (Paragraphs [0008], [0021]-[0022], & [0031]-[0032], Hanninen teaches the different types of positioning arrangements used either by a network, which is composed of base stations, or the mobile device), after the image processing server receives image data sensed by the mobile communication device having an image sensor (Fig. 1, element 110 & 120, paragraph [0017], & [0031]-[0032], Hanninen teaches that the image sensor can be either external or integrated to the mobile device); Hanninen discloses the server combines the position data and image data for delivery to a user, i.e. police, (paragraphs [0031]-[0032]) however does not disclose,
- b. An adder that adds position information indicative of the specified position to the image data as attribute information of the image data; however, Hamada et al. teaches adding information indicative of the specified position of image data attribute information of the image data (Fig 3 & 4; col. 3 lines: 54-67, col. 4 lines: 1-13 & col. 5 lines: 1-25, Hamada teaches and shows the location where the images were taken from and other information concerning location of the images added to the display as shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made modify the teachings of Hanninen et al. to include the position to the image indicative of the specified position to the image data as attribute information of the image data as taught by Hamada et al. for the purposes of other users charting a course from current location to the location that the image displays through location means provided by network service (col. 2, lines: 15-28).

5. Regarding claims 5 & 16, Hanninen et al. discloses and shows an image processing server (Fig. 1, element 150 (secure server), Abstract, Hanninen teaches a server where information is gathered and compiled with location data), comprising:
 - a. A specifier that specifies position information of a mobile communication device based on information from a base station representing a communication region (Paragraphs [0008], [0021]-[0022], & [0031]-[0032], Hanninen teaches the different types of positioning arrangements used either by a network, which is composed of base stations, or the mobile device), after the image processing server receives image data sensed by the mobile communication device having an image sensor (Fig. 1, element 110 & 120, paragraph [0017], & [0031]-[0032], Hanninen teaches that the image sensor can be either external or integrated to the mobile device); Hanninen discloses the server combines the position data and image data for delivery to a user, i.e. police, (paragraphs [0031]-[0032]) however does not disclose,

- b. An adder that adds position information indicative of the specified position to the image data as attribute information of the image data; however, Hamada et al. teaches adding information indicative of the specified position of image data attribute information of the image data (Fig 3 & 4; col. 3 lines: 54-67, col. 4 lines: 1-13 & col. 5 lines: 1-25, Hamada teaches and shows the location where the images were taken from and other information concerning location of the images added to the display as shown).
6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made modify the teachings of Hanninen et al. to include the position to the image indicative of the specified position to the image data as attribute information of the image data as taught by Hamada et al. for the purposes of other users charting a course from current location to the location that the image displays through location means provided by network service (col. 2, lines: 15-28).
7. Consider claims 2 & 13, and as applied to claims 1 & 12 respectively above, Hanninen et al. discloses and shows wherein the specifier that specifies the position of the mobile communication device based on the position information of the base station, the base station being used in transmitting the image data (Fig. 1, paragraph [0021]; Hanninen teaches the image data information being sent to the nearest base station of a network); and the adder that adds global positioning system (GPS) information of the base station to the image data (Paragraphs [0020]-[0021], Hanninen teaches including the GPS data with the image that is sent to the base station), based on a database storing the other position information of the base station and the GPS information

associated with the other position information (Fig. 1, Paragraphs [0020]-[0022], teaches the storing of information to the mobile phone; also, as seen on the figure, the information is transferred to the secure server).

8. Consider claims 3, 6, 14, & 17, and as applied to claims 1, 5, 12, & 16 respectively above, Hanninen et al. discloses and shows wherein the position information includes at least one of global positioning system (GPS) information, address information and a place name (Paragraph [0020], Hanninen teaches at least GPS information).

9. Consider claims 4, 7, 15, & 18, and as applied to claims 1, 5, 12, & 16 respectively above, Hanninen et al. discloses and shows wherein the position information except that it includes a base station number of the base station, however, Hamada et al. teaches the limitation of including a base station number of the base station (Fig. 1, 3, & 14, Hamada teaches the display of base station number, i.e. CS 1, CS 2, etcetera).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Hanninen et al. to include position information that includes a base station number of the base station as taught by Hamada et al. for the purpose of determining position where it cannot be reached by radio waves from a satellite for GPS functions (col. 1 line: 67; col. 2 lines: 1-3).

10. Claims 8, 9, 19, & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanninen et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2004/0203842 A1), in view

of Hamada et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,314,296 B1), and further in view of Toyomura et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2002/0116575 A1).

11. Consider claim 8, 19, 9, & 20, and as applied to claims 1, 5, & 16 above, the combination of Hanninen et al. & Hamada et al. does disclose wherein the adder adds the position information, except that it does not disclose adding the position information to an exchangeable information file (Exif) tag of the image data; however, Toyomura et al. discloses Exif to process information (i.e. GPS location) to an image (Paragraphs [0016], [0059], [0189] & [0190]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Hanninen et al. & Hamada et al. to include adding the position information to an exchangeable information file (Exif) tag of the image data as taught by Toyomura et al. for the purposes of downloading and organizing in files according to information displayed on image (Paragraphs [0018]-[0019]).

12. Claims 10 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanninen et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2004/0203842 A1), in view of Hamada et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,314,296 B1), and further in view of ITO (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2003/0076428 A1).

13. Consider claims 10 & 11, and as applied to claims 8 & 9 respectively above, the combination of Hanninen et al. and Hamada et al. disclose and show further comprising:

The image data received from the mobile communication device with an Exif tag.

However, Hanninen et al. & Hamada et al. do not teach an adder that adds the Exif tag to the image data that does not include the Exif tag; however, ITO discloses an adder that adds the Exif tag to the image data that does not include the Exif tag (Fig. 1 elements 9 through element 7; Paragraph [0025], ITO teaches the use of formatting conversion means to images that do not have Exif tags and are processed to have such tags).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Hanninen et al. & Hamada et al. to include an adder that adds the Exif tag to the image data that does not include the Exif tag as taught by ITO for the purposes of making it feasible to provide the same service for all the users irrespective of what time of image data they have (Paragraph [0031]).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following is a list of prior art considered:

- Toyama (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2004/0192343 A1), "System and method for location annotation employing time synchronization".
- Hull et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,806,005), "Wireless image transfer from a digital still video camera to a networked computer".

Art Unit: 2683

- Fraccaroli (U.S. Patent # 6,748,223 B2), "Apparatus and associated method, for providing a digital image generated at a mobile station to an assistance center".
- Kikkawa (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2005/0009562 A1), "Portable terminal apparatus, control method therefor, and recording medium which records control program".
- Suino (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2003/0218781 A1), "Image input apparatus, image processing apparatus, image processing method, image processing program and storage medium storing the image processing program".
- Wilcock et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,741,864 B2), "Associating image and location data".
- Ota (U.S. Patent # 6,437,797 B1), "Image reproducing method and image data managing method".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diego Herrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William G. Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.H.



WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600